

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 1270042 DATE: <u>03/02/2011</u> ARRIVE: <u>9:30</u> DEPAR	T: <u>11:00</u>		
FACILITY NAME: DAYTONA READY-MIX & BLOCK PLANT			
FACILITY LOCATION: 2900 S RIDGEWOOD AVE			
DAYTONA BEACH 32119-3544			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: SIGURD BO PHONE: (407)841-8 Email: Mobile: (407)841-8 CONTACT NAME: SIGURD BO PHONE: (407)841-8 Email: Mobile: (407)312-7 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/12/2008 / 10/12/2013 10/12/2013 (effective date) (end date)	7119 8409		
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Sigurd Bo Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)		
2. Is the Authorized Representative still SIGURD BO? If no, who is?:	🛚 Yes 🗀No		
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still SIGURD BO? If no, who is?:			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance?			

Emissions Unit Section 2 – CCB Plant-RM split silo(cement)comp #1w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ✓ only one box for each question)
 Date of last inspection: 10/15/2008 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection. If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?b. If tested: (0)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	n?
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Sto Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Sto	
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable pre- emissions by:	ecautions to control unconfined
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and y 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant che control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas ur owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mi particulate matter from stock piles?	yards?
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the	e drop point to the truck? X Yes No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: (<u>0</u>)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

Emissions Unit Section 3 –CCB Plant-RM split silo(cement)comp #2w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ✓ only one box for each question)
 Date of last inspection: 10/15/2008 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection. If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?b. If tested: (0)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	n?
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Sto Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Sto	
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable pre- emissions by:	ecautions to control unconfined
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and y 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant che control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas ur owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mi particulate matter from stock piles?	yards?
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the	e drop point to the truck? X Yes No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: (<u>0</u>)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

Emissions Unit Section 4 -CCB Plant-BLOCK silo (cement) w/4 cartridge dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each q	
Date of last inspection: 10/15/2008 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: (0)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	🛭 Yes 🔄 Yes es 🔲 No	☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each q	only one question)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by: 	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? 	\(\times \text{ Yes} \) \(\times \text{ Yes} \) \(\times \text{ Yes} \)	□ No□ No□ No□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: (0)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	🛚 Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 7 –CCB Plant-RM silo (flyash/slag) w/cartridge dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	
Date of last inspection: 10/15/2008 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: (0)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	X Yes	No No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles,		only one question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions t emissions by:	to control unconfined	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include of paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals where the properties of th	hen necessary to	□ No
control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce ai	ol of the	∐ No
particulate matter?	Yes dentrainment of	□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point		
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		□ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section 8 – CCB Plant-RM weigh hopper w/central baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

8 – CCB Flant-Rivi weigh hopper w/central baghouse subject to Reasonable Frecautions		
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ✓ box for each	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 10/15/2008 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: (0)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	X Yes	□ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconf emissions by: 	ined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	X Yes	□ No□ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	· —	□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛛 Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: (0)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	🛭 Yes	□ No

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

Emissions Unit Section 9 – CCB Plant-BLOCK weigh hopper/mixer w/fabric filter bag subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ✓ only one box for each question)
 Date of last inspection: 10/15/2008 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?b. If tested: (0)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Stor Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stor	
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precent emissions by:	cautions to control unconfined
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant cher 	rards? X Yes No No micals when necessary to
control emissions?	der control of the reduce airborne
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mit: particulate matter from stock piles?	tigate wind entrainment of
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the	drop point to the truck? ⊠ Yes □ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: (<u>0</u>)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 o	•
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	X Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2. Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the except units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		□ No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		□ No
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		 No No No No No No
$\frac{\text{gal diesel/yr} + \text{gal gasoline/yr} + \text{gal gasoline/yr} + \frac{\text{MM SCF nat. gas/yr}}{44 \text{ MM SCF nat. gas/yr}} + \frac{\text{MM gal propane/yr}}{1.3 \text{ MM gal propane/yr}} \le 1.00?$		
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel confor each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	sumption X Yes	☐ No
GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 o	*
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?		☐ No
Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	× Yes	☐ No
b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	1	□ No
3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, ac	ccess	∐ No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air gener permit and Department rules?		☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both st	eationary and relocatable	(check 🗹 box for each	
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If</i>		ng question 2.)	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		Yes	☐ No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or L e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day p b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification 	orior to changing location?		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifical	ss days following a relocation? tion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6	- Yes	□ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate at			∐ No
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose? If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it is the purpose.	in that separate permit: loose (i.e, there is no repeated usage		☐ No
co-located at the permitted facility?			☐ No ☐ No
CHANGES		(check v box for each	
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the state of the	he facility or authorized represents		question)
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation	of the facility or any emissions ur	nits <u>or</u>	
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?			
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been			
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?			⊠ No ⊠ No
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substated. A change in ownership?	antially different?		⊠ No ⊠ No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registratio 30 days prior to the change?		omitted	☐ No
John Vigliotti	03/02/2011		
John Vigliotti Inspector's Name (Please Print)	03/02/2011 Date of Inspection		
	Date of Inspection 03/2016		
	Date of Inspection	spection	